



PISA

IN FOCUS

32

education policy education policy education policy education policy education policy education policy education policy

Do students perform better in schools with orderly classrooms?

- Most students enjoy orderly classrooms for their language-of-instruction lessons.
- Socio-economically disadvantaged students are less likely to enjoy orderly classrooms than advantaged students.
- Orderly classrooms – regardless of the school’s overall socio-economic profile – are related to better performance.

A good climate for learning almost everywhere.

Imagine a class of 15-year-olds. What image springs to mind? Neat rows of desks at which students silently sit, passively absorbing their teacher’s every word? Or semi-controlled chaos, where students talk – or shout – to each other across the classroom while the teacher tries desperately to attract their attention? Fortunately, the reality lies somewhere between these two extremes. According to the reports of students who participated in PISA 2009, most students in most of the PISA-participating countries and economies enjoy orderly classrooms. On average across OECD countries, for example, four out of five students reported that only occasionally do students not work well in class because of distractions from other students. Around three out of four students also reported that only occasionally do their teachers have to wait a long time for students to quiet down; and more than two out of three students reported that never or hardly ever is there noise and disorder in their classrooms.

There are some countries and economies where the disciplinary climate is even more conducive to learning. For example, fewer than one in ten students in Korea and Thailand reported that they cannot work well in class because of disruptions; fewer than one in ten students in Japan, Kazakhstan and Thailand reported that they have to wait a long time after class begins to start working; and fewer than one in ten students in Japan, Kazakhstan and Shanghai-China reported that their teacher has to wait a long time for students to quiet down before they can begin class.




PISA

IN FOCUS

Order in the classroom

Percentage of students who reported that the following **never** or **hardly ever** happens, or **happens only in some** of their language-of-instruction lessons:

- ◆ Students cannot work well.
- ▲ The teacher has to wait a long time for students to quiet down.
- There is noise and disorder.



Note: OECD countries are shown in black.

Source: PISA 2009 Results: What Makes a School Successful? Resources, Policies and Practices, Figure IV.4.2.

StatLink <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932343418>

By contrast, nearly one in two students in Greece reported that they can't work well in most or all lessons; nearly one in two in Croatia, Greece and Slovenia reported that students don't listen to what the teacher says; and nearly one in two students in Argentina, Finland, France, Greece and the Netherlands reported that there is noise and disorder in their classrooms.

In PISA 2009, students were asked to respond to the following questions:

How often do these things happen in your language of instruction lessons?

(Please tick only one box in each row)

	Never or hardly ever	In some lessons	In most lessons	In all lessons
a) Students don't listen to what the teacher says	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) There is noise and disorder	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) The teacher has to wait a long time for the students to quieten down	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Students cannot work well	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Students don't start working for a long time after the lesson begins	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Students' views on how conducive classrooms are to learning were combined to develop a composite index to measure the disciplinary climate in their schools.



A clear relationship with performance

Students' views on the disciplinary climate in their schools vary not only across countries, but also across schools themselves. On average across OECD countries, 15% of the variation in students' responses to these questions is attributable to differences between schools; and in the Czech Republic, Estonia, Italy, Japan, Latvia and Slovenia, over 20% of variation in students' responses is observed between schools.

The association between classroom climate and performance is strong.

The disciplinary climate in the classroom and school can also affect learning. Classrooms and schools with more disciplinary problems are less conducive to learning, since teachers have to spend more time creating an orderly environment before instruction can begin. In 61 countries and economies that participated in PISA 2009, students in schools where the classroom climate is more conducive to learning tend to perform better.

	Relationship between average disciplinary climate in school and...		
	...average socio-economic status of students in school	...student performance in reading	...student performance in reading, after accounting for students' and schools' socio-economic status, demographic profile, and various school features
Australia	Positive association	Positive association	
Austria	Positive association	Positive association	
Belgium	Positive association	Positive association	
Canada	Positive association	Positive association	
Chile	Positive association	Positive association	
Czech Republic	Positive association	Positive association	
Denmark	Positive association	Positive association	
Estonia	Positive association	Positive association	
Finland	Positive association	Positive association	
France	Positive association	Positive association	m
Germany	Positive association	Positive association	
Greece	Positive association	Positive association	
Hungary	Positive association	Positive association	
Iceland	Positive association	Positive association	
Ireland	Positive association	Positive association	
Israel	Positive association	Positive association	
Italy	Positive association	Positive association	
Japan	Positive association	Positive association	
Korea	Positive association	Positive association	
Luxembourg	Positive association	Positive association	
Mexico	Positive association	Positive association	
Netherlands	Positive association	Positive association	
New Zealand	Positive association	Positive association	
Norway	Positive association	Positive association	
Poland	Positive association	Positive association	
Portugal	Positive association	Positive association	
Slovak Republic	Positive association	Positive association	
Slovenia	Positive association	Positive association	
Spain	Positive association	Positive association	
Sweden	Positive association	Positive association	
Switzerland	Positive association	Positive association	
Turkey	Positive association	Positive association	
United Kingdom	Positive association	Positive association	
United States	Positive association	Positive association	
OECD average	Positive association	Positive association	
Albania	No association	No association	
Argentina	Negative association	No association	
Azerbaijan	No association	No association	
Brazil	No association	No association	
Bulgaria	No association	No association	
Colombia	No association	No association	
Croatia	No association	No association	
Dubai (UAE)	No association	No association	
Hong Kong-China	No association	No association	
Indonesia	Negative association	No association	
Jordan	No association	No association	
Kazakhstan	No association	No association	
Kyrgyzstan	No association	No association	
Latvia	No association	No association	
Liechtenstein	Negative association	No association	m
Lithuania	No association	No association	
Macao-China	No association	No association	m
Montenegro	No association	No association	m
Panama	No association	No association	
Peru	No association	No association	
Qatar	No association	No association	
Romania	No association	No association	
Russian Federation	No association	No association	
Serbia	No association	No association	
Shanghai-China	No association	No association	
Singapore	No association	No association	
Chinese Taipei	No association	No association	
Thailand	No association	No association	
Trinidad and Tobago	No association	No association	
Tunisia	Negative association	No association	
Uruguay	No association	No association	

Note: OECD countries are shown in black.

Source: PISA 2009 database; *PISA 2009 Results: What Makes a School Successful? Resources, Policies and Practices*, Tables IV.4.2 and IV.2.14c.

StatLink <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932343285>



PISA

IN FOCUS

Schools with more positive disciplinary climates are also those with other characteristics that are closely related to better performance. For example, these schools tend to have more advantaged student populations. In 36 countries and economies, there is positive relationship between the schools' disciplinary climate and the average socio-economic status of their students.

But even after accounting for the socio-economic status and demographic profile of students and schools and various other school characteristics, such as the educational resources available to the school, school governance, and the assessment and accountability arrangements in place, in 31 countries and economies, schools with a more positive disciplinary climate tend to perform better. Disciplinary climate is one of few school-level characteristics that show a significant positive relationship with performance consistently across countries, even after accounting for other school features and students' background. In fact, the strong relationship between students' socio-economic status and disciplinary climate suggests that the impact of socio-economic status on student performance can be weakened by a positive disciplinary climate in school.

The bottom line: A disciplinary climate that is conducive to learning is not only positively related to student performance, but results from PISA suggest that it can weaken the impact of students' socio-economic status on performance.

For more information

Contact Miyako Ikeda (Miyako.IKEDA@oecd.org)

See OECD (2010), *PISA 2009 Results: What Makes a School Successful? Resources, Policies and Practices, Volume IV, PISA*, OECD Publishing.

Visit

www.pisa.oecd.org

www.oecd.org/pisa/infocus

Coming next month

What can immigrant students tell us about education systems?